UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/828,560	03/31/1997	JOHN M. EGNOR	3398T	5182
7590 03/12/2008 JIM ZEGEER			EXAMINER	
801 NORTH PI SUITE 108	TT STREET		KING, BRADLEY T	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	08/828,560	EGNOR, JOHN N	EGNOR, JOHN M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bradley T. King	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commutable. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMU of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma unication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Novill, by statute, cause the application to become	INICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 18 December 2007						
	b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
′ <u>=</u>	/ _						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, , ,	,					
·	application						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	i						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received. documents have been received in f the priority documents have be nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this Nationa	l Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	O-948) Paper l	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites two instances of "a wheel". It is not clear if they are the same or different wheels.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 08/828,560 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fullenkamp et al (US# 4314735).

Fullenkamp et al disclose a ramped stop element 10 having the structure recited by the instance claims. Fullenkamp lacks the implementation of the ramp stop element to locate commercial kitchen equipment within tolerances of fixed fire suppression systems. However, Fullenkamp et al disclose the concept of utilizing the stop to accurately position and reliably relocate equipment (column 1) in the similar application of hospital rooms. The Examiner takes Official Notice that fire commercial kitchen equipment, fire suppression systems and the necessity of properly locating the devices relative to one another is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the stop and positioning method taught by Fullenkamp et al to commercial kitchen equipment and fire suppression systems to provide the predictable results of accurate and repeatable positioning of the equipment. Also note MPEP 2143 C.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley T. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-7117. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bradley T King Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

BTK
/Bradley T King/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3683